DEC 102015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

SUSAN Y. SOONG CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America,	Case No. CR 13 - 529 CCS
Plaintiff,) V.) Cevin Luu) Defendant.)	STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDINGTIME UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Defendant.)	
For the reasons stated by the parties on the record time under the Speedy Trial Act from finds that the ends of justice served by the continuand the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C finding and bases this continuance on the following	uance outweigh the best interest of the public . § 3161(h)(7)(A). The Court makes this
Failure to grant a continuance would be like See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i).	ely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
The case is so unusual or so complex, due of defendants, the nature of the prosecution fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate trial itself within the time limits established by the	ate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the
Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel, taking into account the exercise of due of	diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuance would unre counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case condue diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv)	
Failure to grant a continuance would unre time necessary for effective preparation, taking in See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).	asonably deny the defendant the reasonable account the exercise of due diligence.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
DATED: 12 10° 2015	LAUREL BEELER
	United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED:	A-Rowser
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney